International Journal Software Engineering and Computer Science (IJSECS)
4 (3), 2024, 1244-1252
Published Online December 2024 in IJSECS (http://www.journal.lembagakita.org/index.php/ijsecs)
P-ISSN: 2776-4869, E-ISSN: 2776-3242. DOI: https://doi.org/10.35870/ijsecs.v4i3.3167.

RESEARCH ARTICLE Open Access

Evaluating the Impact of Emerging Technologies on Mobile User Experience: The Role of User-Centered Design in Overcoming Development Challenges

Abdul Wajid Fazil *
Information System Department, Badakhshan University, Badakhshan Province, Afghanistan.
Corresponding Email: liendadputri@gmail.com.

Shir Ahmad Hamidi
Department of Networking and Cybersecurity, Karwan University, Kabul, Afghanistan.
Email: dean.bcs@karwan.edu.af.

Habibrahman Habibi
Faculty of Education, Computer Science Department, Nuristan Institute of Higher Education, Nuristan Province, Afghanistan.

Received: September 4, 2024; Accepted: November 20, 2024; Published: December 1, 2024.

Abstract: The adoption of emerging technologies, namely Artificial Intelligence (AI), Augmented Reality (AR), Virtual Reality (VR), 5G and the Internet of Things (IoT), has far-reaching implications for mobile user experience (UX) and this study enhances current research by evaluating the effectiveness of adopting user-centered design (UCD) methodologies to address emerging technologies for mobile experiences. The mixed-methods framework involves both quantitative survey and qualitative interviews to deliver a holistic perspective. The survey focuses on industry professionals and mobile app users to assess how they view AI's role in making personalization part and parcel of the experience, AR/VR role in enabling immersion and engagement, and any challenges presented by device screen size and varied user needs. In addition, semi-structured interviews provide qualitative data that reveal human insight into how practical work is influenced and the practices of design. The study shows AI makes an application much more personalized compared to AR & VR which provide an immersive rich experience but can have technical difficulty with implementation. The research underscores the importance of UCD in enhancing mobile applications and emphasizes the need for iterative design processes along with continual feedback from users to overcome developmental challenges. Moreover, there is a consensus that UX success and ongoing upgrades can be measured via qualitative metrics like user retention rates and satisfaction surveys. This study highlights the growing importance of combining advanced technology with effective design methodology to keep up with shifting user expectations and to improve the competitiveness of mobile apps.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI); Augmented Reality (AR); Virtual Reality (VR); 5G Technology; Internet of Things (IoT); User-Centered Design (UCD); Mobile User Experience (UX).

1. Introduction

The swift progression of technology has greatly impacted the mobile user experience (UX) landscape. Cutting-edge technologies like Artificial Intelligence (AI), Augmented Reality (AR), Virtual Reality (VR), 5G, and the Internet of Things (IoT) are transforming how users interact with mobile applications. These advancements offer fresh prospects for enhancing user engagement and satisfaction; however, they also present novel challenges [1]. Although AR/VR can deliver immersive experiences, they demand substantial computational power and intricate design, which adds complexity to the development process [14]. User-centered design (UCD) methodologies have gained significant importance in developing mobile applications. These methodologies prioritize the needs and behaviors of end users throughout the design process, resulting in a functional, enjoyable, and easy-to-use final product [2]. Integrating users early and frequently in the development cycle enables the identification of potential issues and areas for enhancement, leading to increased user satisfaction and improved overall user experience [6].

Optimizing the user experience of mobile apps poses several challenges despite the advantages of user-centered design (UCD). For instance, the confined screen size, diverse user preferences, and varying device capabilities are major obstacles that developers must conquer [3]. Nevertheless, these challenges present an opportunity for innovation. By incorporating continuous user feedback and employing iterative design processes, developers can refine and enhance their applications to meet user expectations and stay competitive [8]. Evaluating the success of mobile app UX is critical for comprehending its influence and steering future advancements. A range of metrics and assessment techniques, including user retention rates, satisfaction surveys, and app store reviews, offer valuable insights into user experiences and pinpoint significant UX challenges [7]. These resources enable developers to make data-driven decisions that improve the functionality and appeal of their applications [5].

The future of mobile app user experience (UX) is greatly influenced by the integration of emerging technologies and user-centered design methodologies. By tackling challenges and capitalizing on opportunities, developers can create applications that exceed user expectations, leading to long-term success in the competitive mobile app market. This holistic approach to UX will drive innovation and establish new standards in mobile app development, ultimately enhancing the overall user experience and satisfaction across various mobile platforms. By conducting thorough research and applying best practices, developers can navigate the intricacies of modern mobile UX, resulting in seamless, engaging, and intuitive applications that distinguish themselves in a cluttered digital environment.

The mobile user experience (UX) has been profoundly changed by the quick development of emerging technologies including artificial intelligence (AI), augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), 5G, and smart objects. These technologies complicate the design and development process while also providing new avenues for improving user pleasure and engagement. The main difficulty is incorporating these technologies into mobile apps in a way that satisfies a variety of user requirements, gets over constraints like screen size, and guarantees a simple and enjoyable user experience. The purpose of this study is to examine how these technologies affect mobile user experience (UX) and how well user-centered design (UCD) approaches work to maximize the development of mobile apps. Based on AI, AR, VR, 5G, IoT, this study evaluates the impact of technology on mobile applications by revealing the beneficial parts of these advancements as well as some of the pitfalls with these advancements. In addition, this study adds to the knowledge of how to perform UCD techniques in a timely manner that increases retention rates on mobile devices. This study is essential for people working in development, design, and high-tech industries who want to leverage cutting-edge technologies while maintaining high standards of usability and user experience.

2. Research Method

This study explores how emerging technologies—Artificial Intelligence (AI), Augmented Reality (AR), Virtual Reality (VR), 5G, and Internet of Things (IoT)—impact mobile user experience (UX) and the effectiveness of user-centered design (UCD) methodologies using a mixed-methods technique. The methodology is based on a systematic literature review from academic search engines such as IEEE Xplore, Google Scholar and Scopus, which aims to identify contributions on recent developments and challenges related to embedded systems, IoT, CPS and UCD practices. This review lays the theoretical groundwork and highlights major trends. In addition to the literature review, a quantitative survey is conducted to obtain empirical data from industry professionals and users of mobile applications, emphasizing the effects of AI on personalization, the impact of AR/VR on engagement, and the challenges posed by screen size and user

feedback. The survey data were interpreted using descriptive and inferential statistics. Qualitative data is also collected via semi-structured interviews with industry experts and app users, and this data is transcribed and thematically analyzed to uncover deeper insights into the practical implications and user experiences of emerging technologies and UCD methodologies. Thus the unique mixed-method approach enables an in-depth analysis of the context of mobile UX in terms of technological advances and design methods. The holistic analysis of qualitative interview results along with quantitative survey results helps to give an overview of technological impacts alongside UCD practices and also act of a barometer of what the industry is doing right and wrong in mobile application development.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Results

The results of the research will be addressed—the data are presented based on respondent demographics, technology perceptions, and exploratory inferential statistical analysis of the user experience. As seen in the Gender Distribution in Table 1, the majority of respondents were male (72%) and only 28% female. The distribution shows a male-heavy demographic, and while that can skew overall findings, femeninity is still better represented than in most of the other outlets. The Age Distribution in Table 2 illustrates that young adults are prominently represented: 36% aged 26-35 and 29% aged 18-25. This combination of age distribution is especially fitting in light of the study focusing on young adults' adoption of mobile technology. Of the respondents, 12% were between 18 and 25, 25% were between 26 and 35, 25% were between 36 and 45 and 10% were older than 45, suggesting a focus on a younger demographic. Here are key networks insights from this investigation summarised as follows:

Table 1. Frequency distribution of survey responses by Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	72	72.0	72.0	72.0
	Female	28	28.0	28.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

The Gender Distribution in Table 1 shows a pronounced skew towards male respondents, who represent 72% of the sample. Female participants account for 28%, indicating a predominantly male demographic while still incorporating female perspectives.

Table 2. Frequency distribution of survey responses by Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-25	29	29.0	29.0	29.0
	26-35	36	36.0	36.0	65.0
	36-45	25	25.0	25.0	90.0
	Above 45	10	10.0	10.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

The Age Distribution in Table 2 reveals that younger adults are most prominently represented, with 36% aged between 26-35 years and 29% between 18-25 years. Those aged 36-45 years comprise 25% of the respondents, and 10% are over 45, suggesting a focus on the younger demographic in the study.

Table 3. Distribution of Participants by Education Level Details in the Survey

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Secondary School	6	6.0	6.0	6.0
	Bachelor degree	58	58.0	58.0	64.0
	Master Degree	36	36.0	36.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

The Education Level in Table 3 indicates that a large portion of the respondents are well-educated, with 58% holding a Bachelor's degree and 36% possessing a Master's degree. Only 6% have completed their education at the secondary school level, highlighting the overall high educational attainment within the sample.

Table 4. Frequency distribution of survey responses by Mobile Usage

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than 1 year	20	20.0	20.0	20.0
	1-3 years	13	13.0	13.0	33.0
	4-6 years	22	22.0	22.0	55.0
	7-10 years	30	30.0	30.0	85.0
	above 10 years	15	15.0	15.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

The Mobile Usage Experience Table 4 displays a wide range of familiarity with mobile technology among respondents. The largest group (30%) has 7-10 years of experience, followed by 22% with 4-6 years. Newer users, with less than 1 year of experience, make up 20% of the sample, while 15% have over 10 years of usage. A smaller segment (13%) falls within the 1-3 years range.

Table 5. Descriptive Statistics of AI Personalization Impact and AR/VR Engagement Improvement

Item	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
AI_Personalization_Impact	100	3	5	4.48	.577
AR_VR_Engagement_Improvement	100	3	5	4.44	.608
Valid N (listwise)	100				

The descriptive statistics for AI Personalization Impact and AR/VR Engagement Improvement, as shown in Table 5, reveal that both factors are rated highly by respondents, with mean scores of 4.48 and 4.44, respectively, on a 5-point scale. The standard deviations, .577 for AI and .608 for AR/VR, indicate limited variability in responses, suggesting a consistent positive perception across the sample of 100 participants. The minimum and maximum values of 3 and 5 underscore that, while opinions are generally favorable, there are minor differences in the degree of agreement among respondents.

Table 6. Descriptive Statistics of End User Involvement and User-Centered Design Benefits

Item	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
End_User_Involvement_in__Desing	100	3	5	4.47	.717
User_Centered_Design_Benefits	100	3	5	4.53	.658
Valid N (listwise)	100				

The data presented in Table 6 show high levels of agreement regarding End User Involvement in Design and the Benefits of User-Centered Design, with mean scores of 4.47 and 4.53, respectively. The standard deviations are .717 and .658, indicating some variability in responses but overall consistent positive perceptions among the 100 respondents. The range of scores from 3 to 5 reveals that while the majority view these design practices favorably, there are differences in how strongly respondents feel about their effectiveness.

Table 7. Descriptive Statistics of Screen Size Challenges and User Feedback Opportunities

Item	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Screen_Size_Challenges	100	2	5	4.16	.873
User_Feedback_as_an_Opportunity	100	3	5	4.48	.594
Valid N (listwise)	100				

The descriptive statistics in Table 7 highlight varied perceptions of Screen Size Challenges and User Feedback as an Opportunity. Screen Size Challenges have a mean score of 4.16 and a standard deviation of .873, reflecting a moderate level of concern with some variability among respondents. On the other hand, User Feedback as an Opportunity score higher, with a mean of 4.48 and a standard deviation of .594, indicating a

stronger and more consistent positive view. The range of scores for both items shows general approval but also points to differences in how respondents perceive these aspects.

Table 8. Descriptive Statistics of User Retentions and Satisfaction Surveys Insights

Item	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
User_Retentions_as_a_Metric	100	3	5	4.28	.653
Satisfaction_Surverys_Insights	100	3	5	3.93	.714
Valid N (listwise)	100				

Table 8 presents descriptive statistics for User Retentions as Metric and Satisfaction Surveys Insights. User Retentions has a meaning of 4.28 and a standard deviation of .653, indicating a generally positive and consistent view. In contrast, Satisfaction Surveys Insights has a mean of 3.93 and a standard deviation of .714, reflecting more varied opinions among respondents. Both items show overall approval but with notable differences in the level of agreement.

Table 9. T-Test Analysis of User Experience, Design Challenges, and Feedback Metrics

Variable	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval
AI Personalization Impact	77.643	99	.000	4.480	4.37 - 4.59
AR/VR Engagement Improvement	72.983	99	.000	4.440	4.32 - 4.56
End User Involvement in Design	62.334	99	.000	4.470	4.33 - 4.61
User-Centered Design Benefits	68.808	99	.000	4.530	4.40 - 4.66
Screen Size Challenges	47.655	99	.000	4.160	3.99 - 4.33
User Feedback as an Opportunity	75.389	99	.000	4.480	4.36 - 4.60
User Retentions as a Metric	65.586	99	.000	4.280	4.15 - 4.41
Satisfaction Surveys Insights	55.020	99	.000	3.930	3.79 - 4.07

The T-test results show that the variables presented in Table 9 differ significantly across all items. The t-values of AI Personalization Impact and AR/VR Engagement Enhancement are = 77.643 and 72.983, respectively, p-value <.001. That is, with a mean difference of 4.480 and 4.440 and a 95% confidence interval of 4.37 to 4.59 and 4.32 to 4.56, respectively, agree with a strong and statistically significant positive perception of this technology. The same is true for End-User Involvement in Design and User-Centered Design Benefits, which have t-values of 62.334 and 68.808 (p <.001). The mean difference is 4.470 (95% CI: 4.33 to 4.61) and 4.530 (95% CI: 4.40 to 4.66). This shows that both factors are important to implement a better and user-friendly user experience. In addition, the t-values of 47.655 and 75.389 (p < .001) of the screen size challenge hypotheses, and user feedback as an opportunity are further proven, clearly with significant results. Mean difference: (4.160, 4.99, 4.33) (4.480, 4.36, 4.60) These findings uncover complications and possibilities around these findings. Lastly, User Retention as a Metric and Insight Satisfaction Survey, with t-values of 65.586 and 55.020 (p < .001), with mean differences of 4.280 and 3.930. The 95% confidence intervals are: 4.15–4.41 and 3.79–4.07, respectively, indicating that there is a large and partly idiosyncratic misunderstanding of these metrics and insights. Repeating the t-test results, all variables were found to have a statistically significant positive impact, and perceptions across the sample were consistent and significantly positive.

3.2 Discussion

This study also implies the impact of new technologies on mobile UX and the need to implement UCD in designing mobile applications. The introduction of advanced technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Augmented Reality (AR), Virtual Reality (VR), 5G, and Internet of Things (IoT) is changing the way users interact with mobile applications. According to what is known and proven in the literature, AI helps improve personalization and predictive capabilities, [creating] a more tailored and engaging user experience [16]. This ensures that while mobile applications become more in tune with individual users, the level of user satisfaction discussing the tailored content and interactions also increases. Nagappan and Shihab (2016) also outline the capabilities of Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality and these technologies enable immersive experiences

that will change the expectations placed on user UX [4]. Similar technologies allow users to interact with applications in new ways, providing opportunities for more immersive and richer experiences. However, the complexity of incorporating AR and VR into mobile applications poses considerable challenges. Addressing significant computational demands and precision design frameworks can be challenging for developers, as they need to be equipped to handle these technical requirements while ensuring a smooth user experience.

UCD methodology as a solution to this challenge. Starting with a user-centered design approach that prioritizes the needs and behaviors of end users in mobile app development results in technology that is functional, enjoyable, and easy to understand [5]. Survey findings from Samantha Potts and Brian McKlain illustrate that working with users early and regularly throughout the design cycle ensures that issues are discovered early in the design process and helps us to tailor applications to provide near-perfect alignment with user expectations. These studies have shown a direct correlation between the use of UCD and improved usability, suggesting that key practices such as iterative testing and user feedback will result in more effective applications that have improved user experiences. While emerging technologies have brought many benefits, studies have also found that challenges remain in mobile app development. Mobile devices have limited screen sizes, heterogeneous user preferences, and diverse device capabilities [3]. We need innovative design solutions and flexible interfaces to accommodate a wide range of user needs. However, several complex factors emerge from the relationships demonstrated by this survey data, where new technologies bring new capabilities, but this often comes at the expense of complexity, and this complexity must be addressed with user-centered design and practice.

There is a need to measure the UX of mobile apps in terms of various parameters, including user retention rates and satisfaction surveys. The findings suggest that user retention is an important measure of an app's success, as it tells users whether they are still engaged with the app and whether they find it valuable [7]. In contrast, satisfaction surveys can provide important information about how well clients feel the app is performing in terms of their experience but are subject to greater variability in responses [5], indicating that satisfaction can be perceived very differently by different users. The study calls for a balance between emerging technologies and rigorous UCD practices to enrich mobile UX. By addressing the challenges posed by new technologies and leveraging user-centered design concepts, developers can create original and user-friendly mobile apps. Moving forward, it would be prudent to study and explore areas where technology integration coupled with design techniques aids user experience and why this is important to move the industry forward—ultimately ensuring that mobile apps remain competitive with evolving user expectations.

4. Related Work

The incorporation of novel technology into the process of developing mobile applications has attracted considerable scholarly interest. New technologies that present significant problems as well as potential for improving mobile user experience (UX) include AI, AR, VR, 5G, and IoT. According to Abolfazli *et al*. (2014), artificial intelligence (AI) can improve mobile applications' personalization and predictive capabilities, which can lead to a considerable increase in user engagement [16]. In a similar vein, by providing immersive experiences, AR and VR are transforming user interaction. Developers now face additional difficulties because of these technologies' high processing power requirements and complex design frameworks [4]. Using user-centered design (UCD) approaches is essential to solving these obstacles. In order to guarantee that the finished product satisfies user wants and preferences, Liew *et al*. (2019) stress the importance of user input throughout the design process [5]. This method promotes user happiness in addition to improving usability. According to Nakamura *et al*. (2022), it's critical to include ongoing user feedback in the development cycle in order to spot possible problems early on and fix them, producing a better, more user-friendly product [8]. Even with these advantages, mobile app UX optimization is still difficult. Significant obstacles that must be overcome include small screens, a wide range of user preferences, and different device capabilities [10]. These difficulties call for creative design approaches and flexible user interfaces that can serve a wide range of users. Flora, Wang, and Chande (2014) talk about how iterative design methods and ongoing user input are crucial for improving mobile applications to satisfy these various needs [3]. Analyzing a mobile application's performance Understanding its effects and directing future developments depend heavily on UX. Feng and Wei (2019) talk about several assessment techniques that offer insightful information on user experiences, such as app store reviews, satisfaction surveys, and user retention rates [7]. Combining qualitative and quantitative data, according to Bitkina, Kim, and Park (2020), provides a thorough understanding of UX and enables developers to make data-driven decisions for improvements [6]. To improve mobile user experience, it is crucial to integrate developing technology with user-centered design techniques, as highlighted by the

literature. Developing mobile applications that are successful, engaging, and intuitive requires addressing the associated problems through regular user input and thorough evaluation techniques [9][15]. Innovative technologies and user-centered design have the power to revolutionize mobile app development, guaranteeing sustained success in a cutthroat industry [11][12]. The overarching aim of this study is to conduct an in-depth examination of the user experience (UX) of mobile apps by means of several complementing specific aims. The research question will focus on the effects of new technologies (e.g., AI, AR/VR, 5G, or IoT) on mobile user experience. Next, the research will analyze to what extent user-centered design principles are used in the development of a mobile app and how effective they are in improving the holistic experience of the user. Third, the study will highlight the main challenges for enhancing the UX of mobile apps, and the opportunities which are able to exploit to strengthen the user experience. Fourth, the research will analyze the different approaches and evaluation techniques used to assess the success of mobile app UX and their effectiveness in offering valuable feedback.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

This study highlights the transformative effects of emerging technologies on mobile user experience (UX) while underscoring the critical importance of user-centered design (UCD) methodologies. Emerging technologies like Artificial Intelligence (AI), Augmented Reality (AR), Virtual Reality (VR), 5G, and the Internet of Things (IoT) have the potential to significantly enhance user interactions with mobile applications, offering personalized and immersive experiences that were previously unattainable. AI, for example, enables a higher degree of personalization, making interactions more relevant to individual users. Meanwhile, AR and VR introduce engaging, immersive elements that can elevate the overall user experience. However, these technological advancements come with their own set of challenges, including increased complexity in development and high computational requirements. Addressing these challenges requires a strategic approach, integrating advanced technologies with effective UCD practices. The study finds that user-centered design methodologies are indispensable for navigating these complexities, ensuring that mobile applications remain user-friendly and aligned with user needs. By incorporating regular user feedback and iterative design processes, developers can enhance app usability and address potential issues early in the development cycle. Moreover, the study emphasizes the need for continuous evaluation of mobile app UX through metrics such as user retention rates and satisfaction surveys. These metrics provide valuable insights into user engagement and app performance, helping developers make informed decisions to improve their applications. The future of mobile app development lies in effectively combining emerging technologies with user-centered design principles. This approach not only addresses the technical and design challenges but also ensures that applications meet the evolving needs and expectations of users. As technology continues to advance, ongoing research and adaptation will be crucial for maintaining competitiveness and delivering superior user experiences in the mobile app industry.

To achieve superior mobile app development and best practices that lead to the development of the best, user-centric mobile apps, the following strategies need to be considered. First, the implementation of an iterative User-Centered Design (UCD) process should, first and foremost, be perpetuated. This involves recruiting users from the beginning of development, ensuring the app meets their needs and preferences. Second, you should try to strike a reasonable balance between usability and innovation. Some high-tech innovations make experiences more complicated than simplified, and complex features reward you by optimizing the experience. Keep your team productive: Invest in developer competency development through continuous learning about UCD, as well as new technologies. Fourth, there are many UX metrics you can use, both qualitative and quantitative — you should use all of them to build a 360° picture of the user experience. Most importantly, focus on cross-functional collaboration and long-term goals to ensure happy users and the competitiveness of your app. These strategies can help achieve innovative, intuitive, and user-centric mobile app development.

Acknowledgment

My sincere gratitude is extended to Mr. Musawer Hakimi and his associates for their indispensable support and direction during the composition of this manuscript. Their assistance with data collecting and SPSS data analysis was crucial to the success of this study. Their knowledge and constant commitment really improved the caliber of this job, and I sincerely thank them for their contributions. I also want to express my sincere gratitude to my family and friends, whose unwavering support and inspiration have been a continual source

of inspiration for me while I've undertaken this research. Their confidence in my skills and their comprehension of the challenges involved in this project have been a constant source of motivation.

References

[1] Dirin, A., & Laine, T. H. (2018). User experience in mobile augmented reality: emotions, challenges, opportunities and best practices. *Computers*, *7*(2), 33. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers7020033.

[2] Ahmad, A., Li, K., Feng, C., Asim, S. M., Yousif, A., & Ge, S. (2018). An empirical study of investigating mobile applications development challenges. *IEEE Access*, *6*, 17711-17728.

[3] Flora, H. K., Wang, X., & Chande, S. V. (2014). An investigation into mobile application development processes: Challenges and best practices. *International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science*, *6*(6), 1.

[4] Nagappan, M., & Shihab, E. (2016, March). Future trends in software engineering research for mobile apps. In *2016 IEEE 23rd international conference on software analysis, evolution, and reengineering (SANER)* (Vol. 5, pp. 21-32). IEEE.

[5] Liew, M. S., Zhang, J., See, J., & Ong, Y. L. (2019). Usability challenges for health and wellness mobile apps: mixed-methods study among mHealth experts and consumers. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, *7*(1), e12160. Liew, M. S., Zhang, J., See, J., & Ong, Y. L. (2019). Usability challenges for health and wellness mobile apps: mixed-methods study among mHealth experts and consumers. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, *7*(1), e12160.

[6] Bitkina, O. V., Kim, H. K., & Park, J. (2020). Usability and user experience of medical devices: An overview of the current state, analysis methodologies, and future challenges. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, *76*, 102932. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2020.102932.

[7] Feng, L., & Wei, W. (2019). An empirical study on user experience evaluation and identification of critical UX issues. *Sustainability*, *11*(8), 2432. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11082432.

[8] Nakamura, W. T., de Oliveira, E. C., de Oliveira, E. H., Redmiles, D., & Conte, T. (2022). What factors affect the UX in mobile apps? A systematic mapping study on the analysis of app store reviews. Journal of Systems and Software, 193, 111462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2022.111462.

[9] Arhippainen, L. (2009). Studying user experience: issues and problems of mobile services:–Case ADAMOS: User experience (im) possible to catch?. University of Oulu repository. https://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:9789514291081

[10] Lim, S. L., Bentley, P. J., Kanakam, N., Ishikawa, F., & Honiden, S. (2014). Investigating country differences in mobile app user behavior and challenges for software engineering. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, *41*(1), 40-64.

[11] Konstantakis, M., & Caridakis, G. (2020). Adding culture to UX: UX research methodologies and applications in cultural heritage. *Journal on Computing and Cultural Heritage (JOCCH)*, *13*(1), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1145/3354002.

[12] Dhir, A., Al-Kahtani, M., Kharj, A., & Arabia, S. (2013). A Case Study on User Experience (UX) Evaluation of Mobile Augmented Reality Prototypes. *J. Univers. Comput. Sci.*, *19*(8), 1175-1196.

[13] Alamoodi, A. H., Garfan, S., Zaidan, B. B., Zaidan, A. A., Shuwandy, M. L., Alaa, M., ... & Alobaidi, O. R. (2020). A systematic review into the assessment of medical apps: motivations, challenges, recommendations and methodological aspect. *Health and Technology*, *10*, 1045-1061. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-020-00451-4.

[14] Davidavičienė, V., Raudeliūnienė, J., & Viršilaitė, R. (2021). Evaluation of user experience in augmented reality mobile applications. *Journal of business economics and management*, *22*(2), 467-481. https://doi.org/10.3846/jbem.2020.13999.

[15] Hakimi, M., Bahraam, H., Shahidzay, A. K., & Sadaat, S. N. (2024). Examining the developing influence of emerging technologies in the media sector of Afghanistan. *Studies in Media, Journalism and Communications*, *2*(1), 01-12. https://doi.org/10.32996/smjc.2024.2.1.1

[16] Ahmed, A. M., Qiu, T., Xia, F., Jedari, B., & Abolfazli, S. (2014). Event-based mobile social networks: Services, technologies, and applications. *IEEE Access*, *2*, 500-513.

